



NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE  
AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC.

Regional Office

Suite 301

1275 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20005 (202) 682-1300 Fax: (202) 682-1312

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 27 1998

January 26, 1998

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

**BY HAND DELIVERY**

Secretary Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW  
Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**RE: Comments on Notice of Proposed Rulemaking,  
MM Docket No. 97-234, Implementation of Section 309(j) of the  
Communications Act -- Competitive Bidding for Commercial Broadcast and  
Instructional Television Fixed Service Licenses;  
GC Docket No. 92-52, Reexamination of the Policy Statement on  
Comparative Broadcast Hearings;  
GEN Docket No. 90-264, Proposals to Reform the Commission's  
Comparative Hearing Process to Expedite the Resolution of Cases**

Dear Madam Secretary:

This letter sets forth the views of the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. regarding the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) request for comments on standards that can be implemented to increase minority ownership of broadcast licenses.

The Legal Defense Fund recognizes that this request for comments reflects the FCC's longstanding commitment to encouraging minority participation in the broadcast industry. We commend the FCC's efforts to implement minority ownership policies to promote broadcast diversity, which benefits all audiences. We agree with its concern about the underrepresentation of minorities as owners of broadcast stations. We support the use of narrowly tailored measures to meet the compelling governmental interest of remedying the effects of discrimination in the broadcast industry, in accordance with Adarand.

In determining which tools should be utilized to further the FCC's goals of increasing minority participation, the FCC should consider all possible mechanisms for maximizing opportunity, including but not limited to bidding credits, tax certificates or other enhancements. While the Legal Defense Fund defers to the FCC regarding the specific number and nature of the tools to be used, we encourage the FCC to consider the specific barriers which minorities face in entering the broadcast industry. These barriers include inadequate access to capital and credit, lack of broadcast experience and reduced

Contributions are  
deductible for U.S.  
income tax purposes.

The NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF) is not part  
of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People  
(NAACP) although LDF was founded by the NAACP and shares its  
commitment to equal rights. LDF has had, since 1957, a separate  
Board, program, staff, office and budget.

NO. OF LDF'S RECEIVED  
List A-1001

National Office

Suite 1600  
99 Hudson Street  
New York, NY 10013  
(212) 219-1900  
Fax: (212) 226-7592

Regional Office

Suite 208  
315 West Ninth Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90015  
(213) 624-2405  
Fax: (213) 624-0075

### National Officers

William T. Coleman, Jr.  
*Chairman of the Board*

Robert H. Preiskel  
*President*

Daniel L. Rabinowitz  
*Vice President*

James M. Nabrit, III  
*Secretary*

Eleanor S. Applewhite  
*Treasurer*

### Executive Officers

Elaine R. Jones  
*Director-Counsel*

Theodore M. Shaw  
*Associate Director-Counsel*

Norman J. Chachkin  
*Director of Litigation*

Edward H. Gordon  
*Director of Finance and Administration*

### Board of Directors

Billye Suber Aaron  
Atlanta, Georgia

Anthony G. Amsterdam  
New York, New York

Clarence Avant  
Los Angeles, California

Mario L. Baeza  
New York, New York

John T. Baker  
Albany, New York

Alice M. Beasley  
San Francisco, California

Mary Frances Berry  
Washington, D.C.

Anita Lyons Bond  
St. Louis, Missouri

Patricia S. Bransford  
New York, New York

Allen E. Broussard  
San Francisco, California

William H. Brown III  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Yvonne Brathwaite Burke  
Los Angeles, California

Julius L. Chambers  
Durham, North Carolina

William K. Coblentz  
San Francisco, California

Theodore L. Cross  
New York, New York

Talbot D'Alemberte  
Tallahassee, Florida

Allison S. Davis  
Chicago, Illinois

Ossie Davis  
New Rochelle, New York

Peter J. DeLuca  
New York, New York

Adrian W. DeWind  
New York, New York

Anthony Downs  
Washington, D.C.

Robert F. Drinan  
Washington, D.C.

Charles T. Duncan  
Annapolis, Maryland

Kenneth C. Edelin  
Boston, Massachusetts

Marian Wright Edelman  
Washington, D.C.

Christopher F. Edley  
New Rochelle, New York

Toni G. Fay  
New York, New York

David E. Feller  
Berkeley, California

Clarence Finley  
Los Angeles, California

Marvin E. Frankel  
New York, New York

Ronald T. Gault  
New York, New York

Nannette B. Gibson  
Princeton, New Jersey

Jack Greenberg  
New York, New York

Gordon G. Greiner  
Denver, Colorado

Lucy Durr Hackney  
Washington, D.C.

Louis Harris  
New York, New York

Eliot Hubbard III  
Lincoln, Massachusetts

Patricia L. Irvin  
Washington, D.C.

Herman Johnson  
Kansas City, Missouri

Anna Faith Jones  
Boston, Massachusetts

Jetta N. Jones  
Chicago, Illinois

Quincy Jones  
Los Angeles, California

Vernon E. Jordan, Jr.  
Washington, D.C.

Harry Kahn  
New York, New York

Nicholas DeB. Katzenbach  
Princeton, New Jersey

David E. Kendall  
Washington, D.C.

David S. Lindau  
New York, New York

John D. Maguire  
Claremont, California

George E. Marshall, Jr.  
Los Angeles, California

Paul Moore, Jr.  
New York, New York

Martin D. Payson  
New York, New York

Robert O. Preyer  
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Glendora McIlwain Putnam  
Boston, Massachusetts

C. Carl Randolph  
New York, New York

Gilbert T. Ray  
Los Angeles, California

Henry T. Reath  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Norman Redlich  
New York, New York

Charles B. Renfrew  
San Francisco, California

Harvey C. Russell  
Yonkers, New York

Judith T. Sapers  
Brookline, Massachusetts

William H. Scheide  
Princeton, New Jersey

Frederick A. O. Schwarz, Jr.  
New York, New York

Bernard G. Segal  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Jacob Sheinkman  
New York, New York

George C. Simkins, Jr.  
Greensboro, North Carolina

Wayman F. Smith III  
St. Louis, Missouri

Michael I. Sovern  
New York, New York

Bonnie Kayatta-Steingart  
New York, New York

Chuck Stone  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Jay Topkis  
New York, New York

James Vorenberg  
Cambridge, Massachusetts

John W. Walker  
Little Rock, Arkansas

George Wallerstein  
Seattle, Washington

Robert C. Weaver  
New York, New York

Paula Weinstein  
Los Angeles, California

M. Moran Weston  
Heathrow, Florida

Roger W. Wilkins  
Fairfax, Virginia

E. Thomas Williams, Jr.  
Bronx, New York

Karen Hastie Williams  
Washington, D.C.

Andrew Young  
Atlanta, Georgia

### Honorary Board Members

Norman C. Francis  
New Orleans, Louisiana

John Hope Franklin  
Durham, North Carolina

James M. Nabrit, Jr.  
Washington, D.C.

Cyrus R. Vance  
New York, New York

### "COMMITTEE OF 100"

Henry Aaron  
Steve Allen  
Joan Baez  
Birch Bayh  
Vivian J. Beamon  
Harry Belafonte  
Saul Bellow  
John C. Bennett  
Lerone Bennett, Jr.  
Viola W. Bernard  
Hans A. Bethe  
Julian Bond  
Henry T. Bourne  
George P. Brockway  
Yvonne Brathwaite Burke  
Diahann Carroll

James E. Cheek  
Shirley Chisholm  
Ramsey Clark  
Bill Cosby  
Maxwell Dane  
Ossie Davis  
Ruby Dee  
Victoria DeLee  
John Hope Franklin  
Mrs. A. G. Gaston  
Kenneth A. Gibson  
Roland B. Gittelsohn  
Richard G. Hatcher  
Theodore M. Hesburgh  
Marilyn Horne

John H. Johnson  
Horace M. Kallen  
Ethel Kennedy  
James Lawrence, Jr.  
John A. Mackay  
Horace S. Manges  
Henry L. Marsh III  
William James McGill  
Linda B. McKean  
Charles Merrill  
Arthur Mitchell  
Paul Newman  
Anthony Newley  
Eleanor Holmes Norton  
Richard L. Ottinger

### Chairman, BISHOP PAUL MOORE, JR.

Leon E. Panetta  
Gordon A. B. Parks  
Sidney Poitier  
Carl T. Rowan  
John L. Saltonstall, Jr.  
William H. Scheide  
Arthur Schlesinger, Jr.  
Charles E. Silberman  
John P. Spiegel  
William Styron  
Telford Taylor  
Robert Penn Warren  
Robert C. Weaver  
Tom Wicker  
Myrlie Evers Williams

The "Committee of 100," a voluntary cooperative group of individuals headed by Bishop Paul Moore, Jr. has sponsored the appeal of the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. since 1943 to enable the Fund to put into operation a program designed to make desegregation a reality throughout the United States.

information regarding license availability. The FCC should select enhancements that will most effectively alleviate the obstacles faced by minority businesses. In that regard, the FCC should evaluate alternative enhancements according to their demonstrated prior success in promoting opportunities for minorities and their future ability to accomplish their intended purpose. In compliance with Adarand, the FCC should ensure that each tool adopted for this purpose is implemented as narrowly as possible while still furthering the goal of assisting minority businesses to overcome the disadvantages caused by prior inequities in the broadcast industry.

The FCC should decline to limit its application of the tools designed to increase minority ownership to those minority owned businesses that are also small businesses. Given that most applicants for new broadcast stations are small businesses, it is likely that most minority applicants will also be small businesses. However, those minority applicants who do not meet the definition of a small business should not be foreclosed from participation in the FCC's program.<sup>1</sup> As the FCC acknowledges, there is a critical underrepresentation of minorities in broadcast ownership. For example, in 1978, minorities owned less than one percent of the radio and television stations in the United States. Metro Broadcasting, Inc. v. Federal Communications Comm., 497 U.S. 547, 553 (1990). The number has increased only slightly over the past twenty years. Id. Within the past two years, the number has actually decreased from 3.05 percent in 1995 to 2.81 percent in 1996-97. FCC Comments at 36-37, citing NTIA Annual Report, "Minority Commercial Broadcast Ownership in the United States" (August 1997).

The exclusion of larger, minority owned businesses from the FCC's minority participation program prevents such companies from growing even stronger and essentially penalizes them for their prior growth and success. Moreover, such companies likely face increasing competition and additional obstacles to entering the broadcasting industry when compared with large majority owned and operated companies. As a result, larger minority owned businesses would similarly benefit from narrowly tailored enhancements to allow them to participate in the licensing application process. Finally, participation by such businesses in the FCC's program will have the effect of diversifying the types of participating minority businesses, strengthening the FCC's program generally.

The FCC has requested comments on the eligibility criteria to be applied in furtherance of its goal of greater minority participation. We support the use of standards which require applicants to be minority controlled as defined in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Only when a business is minority owned and controlled can the FCC ensure that these important measures are actually benefitting the persons for whom they are intended. We encourage the use of specific requirements for proving minority control in order to ensure uniform application of the definition and to provide prospective applicants

---

<sup>1</sup>The regulations contemplate establishing provisions for increasing the participation of small businesses which are entirely separate from those designed to promote opportunities for minority businesses. Minority companies which do not qualify as small businesses will be denied the opportunity to participate in either program.

with valuable information on eligibility factors. We endorse a definition of equity which ensures that the FCC's policies are reserved for companies in which minorities have significant financial interests as well as control. The requirement that minorities must be entitled to receive at least 50.1 percent of the distribution of dividends paid on voting stock and to receive dividends, profits, and other distributions in proportion to equity interests seems to be an appropriate measure for ensuring that sufficient equity is established.

With regard to the FCC's questions on attribution of options, rights or interests, we decline to comment specifically. However, we do suggest that any proposal adopted by the FCC provide opportunities for participation only where minority owners have meaningful control over the company. At the same time, we encourage the FCC to recognize some flexibility in company structure to allow participants to maximize their corporate strength.

The FCC also seeks comments on its proposed definition of minority-owned businesses eligible for its "minority policies." Generally, we support the application of these policies to businesses in which minorities have a substantial financial stake. However, we recognize there may be instances in which minorities may own a substantial stake in and control a company but are not the predominant financial beneficiaries of the company. In the interests of expanding participation of minorities in the broadcast industry, the FCC should consider limited participation for such businesses. In these instances, we urge the adoption of measures to ensure that such companies are controlled by minorities and that the financial interests held by minorities are at least considerable.

With regard to the advisability of specific provisions for women-owned business, we urge the FCC to resist approaching this issue according to a zero sum analysis, which presumes that the award of credits or other special tools to one disadvantaged group is to the detriment of other eligible groups. This type of analysis is inherently divisive because it places groups of beneficiaries of the FCC's policies in competition with one another. This is counterproductive and ignores the general principle behind the FCC's program -- to expand opportunities for broadcast company owners whose disadvantages have precluded them from competing on an equal basis for licensing applications and other broadcast services. We urge the FCC, instead, to maximize broadcast opportunities for all eligible companies and to increase participation by all firms and disadvantaged groups in the licensing process itself.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed rulemaking. If we can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Elaine R. Jones".

Elaine R. Jones  
Director-Counsel